

Comparison of Immunological Properties of Various Bioactive Combinations - Part II

Abstract

Aim: More and more various mixtures of potentially bioactive molecules reach the market, however the serious testing is usually lacking.

Methods: In this study we directly compared the effects of eleven different combinations on the immune reactions.

Results: We evaluated phagocytic activity, IL-2 and superoxide anion formation, NK cell activity, antibody response and breast cancer inhibition. Our results demonstrated strong differences among individual combinations.

Conclusion: In most cases, these combinations have no effects at all. The only consistently active mixture was RVB 300.

Keywords: Phagocytosis; IL-2; NK cells; Antibodies; Cancer; Immunity; β -glucan; Vitamin C; Immunomodulators

Research Article

Volume 2 Issue 5 - 2016

Vaclav Vetvicka* and Jana Vetvickova

Department of Pathology, University of Louisville, USA

***Corresponding author:** Department of Pathology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA. Tel: 502-852-1612; Email: Vaclav.vetvicka@louisville.edu

Received: July 19, 2016 | **Published:** July 28, 2016

Abbreviations: ELISA: Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay; FCS: Fetal Calf Serum; CO₂: Carbon Dioxide; R&D: Research And development; SOD: Sum Of Digits

Introduction

Biologically active, safe and most of all natural stimulators of the immune system have been sought throughout history. Some of those, like β -glucan, are intensively studied and now, over 15,000 publications later, are being used in numerous clinical trials [1] and in some countries already represent an established drug [2]. However, the search for even better effects continues. Along with the testing of new natural molecules, more and more manufacturers are offering various cocktails or mixtures with potential immunostimulating properties. The main problem with these combinations is the limited research that would support the healthy claims. Individual components are often biologically active or at least have established effects on human health (in the case of vitamins and minerals), but the effects of these combinations are rarely tested. In these cases, there can be dozens of different ingredients and we have absolutely no clue if they have any synergistic activities.

The idea of these combinations is often based on old folks remedies, with limited if any scientific background. Some substances might have no activity, some might stimulate, and some might even inhibit the immune system. Another problem is based on fact that the description of these mixtures uses vague terms such as extract or proprietary blend, which offer no information at all. Despite the fact that these combinations might have questionable qualities, it is important to note that, studies showing that some bioactive molecules have synergistic effects with others exist. In the case of glucan, numerous scientific studies have shown beneficial effects when glucan was given in combination with vitamin C [3], humic acid [4] and resveratrol [5]. Natural immunomodulators are slowly becoming a mainstream supplement and, with dozens of clinical trials of some of these

molecules under way, their use in regular clinical practice might only be a question of time. There is extremely limited number of scientific studies of individual combinations with supposedly immunostimulating properties. One study directly describing immunological effects of six such commercially popular combinations found that only one of them had any significant effects [6]. In this follow up study, we decided to use 10 additional combinations and compare them against RVB 300, which was the most active combination in the original study.

Material and Methods

Animals

Female, 8 week old BALB/c mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). All animal work was done according to the University of Louisville IACUC protocol. Animals were sacrificed by CO₂ asphyxiation.

Material

Individual samples were purchased from the manufacturers or distributors as shown in Table 1. RPMI 1640 medium, sodium citrate, Wright stain, Concanavalin A, HEPES, PMA, Cytochrome C, penicillin and streptomycin were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Fetal calf serum (FCS) was from Hyclone Laboratories (Logan, UT).

Cell lines

Human neutrophil cell line HL-60 and YAC cells were obtained from the ATCC (Manassas, VA). The BALB/c mouse-derived mammary tumor cell line Ptac64 was generously provided by Dr. Wei-Zen Wei of the Michigan Cancer Foundation, Wayne State University, and Detroit, MI. The cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium containing HEPES buffer supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS, 100U/ml penicillin and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin, in plastic disposable tissue culture flasks at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂/95% air incubator.

Phagocytosis

The technique employing Phagocytosis of synthetic polymeric microspheres was described in Vetvicka et al. [7,8].

Briefly: peripheral blood cells were incubated *in vitro* with 0.05 ml of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate particles (HEMA; 5x10⁸/ml). The test tubes were incubated at 37 °C for 60 min., with intermittent shaking. Smears were stained with Wright stain. The cells with three or more HEMA particles were considered positive. Mice were injected ip with individual samples or PBS (control). All experiments were performed in triplicate. At least 200 cells in 60 high power fields were examined in each experiment.

IL-2 production

Purified spleen cells (2x10⁶/ml in RPMI 1640 medium with 5% FCS) from mice injected with tested samples were added into wells of a 24-well tissue culture plate. After addition of 1 mg of Concanavalin A (positive control), cells were incubated for 48 hrs in a humidified incubator (37 °C, 5% CO₂). At the endpoint of incubation, supernatants were collected, filtered through 0.45µm filters and tested for the presence of IL-2 using a Quantikine mouse IL-2 kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN).

Antibody formation

Formation of antibodies was evaluated using ovalbumin as an antigen. Mice were injected twice (two weeks apart) with 0.1 mg of ovalbumin and the serum was collected 7 days after last injection. Experimental groups were getting daily ip. injections of tested material. The level of specific antibodies against ovalbumin was detected by ELISA. As a positive control, a combination of ovalbumin and Freund's adjuvant was used.

Superoxide and nitrite production

Cells were incubated in a final volume of 200 µl of medium containing 0.1% gelatin and 100 µM Cytochrome C. Mice were challenged with 100µg of individual glucans 24 hrs earlier. Cell lines were incubated with 1 µg/ml of glucans for 24 hrs. For the superoxide production, the reaction was initialized by the addition of 5ng/ml PMA. After gentle mixing, the absorbance was measured 30 minutes after incubation at 37 °C using multi well spectrophotometer at 550 nm. Results are expressed as nanomoles of cytochrome C reduced/2.5 x 10⁵ cells/30 minutes, after subtraction of the SOD and spontaneous release controls [9].

In vitro cytotoxicity assay

Spleen cells were isolated from spleen of mice by standard methods. Cell suspension was generated by pressing minced spleen against the bottom of a Petri dish containing PBS. After elimination of erythrocytes by 10-second incubation in distilled water, and five washes in cold PBS, the cells were resuspended in PBS and counted. The viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion. Only cells with viability better than 95% were used in subsequent experiments. Splenocytes (10⁶/ml; 0.1 ml/well) in V-shaped 96-well microplates were incubated with individual samples (2µg/ml) for 30 min at 37 °C and then washed three times with RPMI 1640 medium. After washing, 50µl of target cell line YAC-1 (two different concentrations of target cells were used so the final effector-target ratio was 32:1 and 64:1). After spinning the plates at 250x g for 5 min, the plates were incubated for 4 hrs

at 37 °C. The cytotoxic activity of cells was determined by the use of CytoTox 96 Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay from Promega (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 10µl of lysis solution was added into the appropriate control wells 45 min before the end of incubation. The next step was to spin the plates at 250x g for 5 min, followed by transferring 50 µl of supernatant into flat-bottomed, 96-well microplates. After 50µl of reconstituted substrate was added into each well, plates were covered and incubated for 30 min at room temperature at dark. The optical density was determined by using a STL ELISA reader (Tecan U.S., Research Triangle Park, NC) at 492nm. Specific cell-mediated cytotoxicity was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Percent-specific killing (\% cytotoxicity)} = 100 \times \frac{[(OD_{492} \text{ experimental} - OD_{492} \text{ spontaneous}) \text{ divided } (OD_{492} \text{ maximum} - OD_{492} \text{ spontaneous})]}{1}$$

as described in manufacturer's instructions, where spontaneous release was target cells incubated with medium alone and maximum release was that obtained from target cells lysed with the solution provided in the kit.

Breast cancer model

Mice were injected directly into the mammary fat pads with 1x10⁶/mouse of Ptas64 cells in PBS. The experimental treatment was begun after palpable tumors were found (usually 14 days after injection of cells) and after mice were assigned to experimental groups. Experimental treatment was achieved by intraperitoneal injections of tested samples diluted in PBS (once/day for 14 days). After treatment, the mice were sacrificed, tumors removed and weighed [10].

Results

The number of various combinations of natural immunomodulators is steadily increasing. The rationale for their formulation is usually a combination of marketing tricks with honest efforts to prepare the immunomodulator with optimal biological effects. For our study, we picked eleven easily available, commercially successful combinations, claiming significant immunostimulating effects. Information about individual formulations and manufacturers is given in Table 1. Phagocytosis is one of the most important reactions regulating the primary defense against invading microorganisms. We used a well-established technique employing synthetic microspheres. Our results summarized in Table 2 showed that most samples showed little (if any) activity. Only two samples showed significant improvements - Killer Biotic only at the highest dose, 7M from a dose of 100µg and RVB 300 throughout the whole spectrum of doses.

In addition to Phagocytosis, oxidative burst represents another important step in innate immunity. Table 3 shows the effect of tested samples on production of superoxide anion by human neutrophil cell line HL-60. As the production of superoxide anion after PBS application is very low (0.13 nanomoles/2.5x10⁵ cells), we found more positive samples. However, even four positive samples (Biotic Killer, 7M, MGB 3 and Avemar) combined did not reach the effects of RVB 300.

Next we focused on the effects of our samples on production of IL-2 by cells isolated from spleen. The levels of IL-2 were measured after 48 hrs of *in vitro* incubation of splenocytes. PBS

stimulated only negligible levels (1.3pg/ml) of IL-2, Concanavalin A as positive control levels were in 2,400 to 2,600 pg/ml ranges). With such low control levels, it is not surprising that all samples showed statistically significant effects. However, only RVB 300 (1,001 pg/ml) and 7M (223.7 pg/ml) effects can be considered strong (Table 4). NK cells are a type of lymphocytes and play a major role in the host-rejection of both tumors and virally infected cells. Our comparisons of the effects of various samples on killing of YAC-1 cells by NK cells showed that only RVB 300, Avemar and 7M had significant activity (Table 5).

Next, we tested the possible role of our materials in influencing the antibody response. Using an experimental model of mice

immunized with ovalbumin (and Freund’s adjuvant as a positive control), we found three samples with positive effects - 7M, Avemar and RVB 300 (Table 6). The last part of our study was devoted to testing the effects of various components on cancer growth. The samples were used daily for 14 days after the injected cancer cells showed visible growth (10-14 days after application). Table 7 summarizes the results of two weeks of treatment on weight of tumors. Killer Biotic caused 22% inhibition of cancer growth, 7M caused 39% inhibition, MGN 3 34% inhibition and Avemar caused 29% inhibition. Compared to these data, inhibition caused by RVB 300 (53 percent) was much higher.

Table 1: Type of combination used in this study.

Sample	Composition	Source
Carnivora	Carnivora	Carnivora Research Int., Weston, CT, USA
Killer Biotic FX	Colostrum Beta Sitosterol Olive Leaf extract Propolis concentrate Cayanne fruit concentrate Garlic concentrate Ginger root extract Cordyceps sinensis Coriolus versicolor Maitake mushroom extract Reishi mushroom extract Shitake mushroom extract	Youngevity, Chula Vista
Beta Immune Booster	Dried Yeast fermentate Vitamins (A,C,E) Mg, Se, Zn, Mn, Na, K	Reckit Benckiser Parsippany, NJ, USA
7M Complete Immune Booster	Brown seaweed extract Humic acid Fulvic acid Proprietary blend of mushrooms	Epigenetic Labs Centennial, CO, USA
Emergen-C	P, K, Zn, Mn, Cr, Na, K Vitamin C, B1, B3, B5, B6, B9,B12	Alacer Corp., Foothill Ranch, CA, USA
Immortalium	Vitamin A, C, D ₃ , E, K, B ₆ , Zn, Cu MSM Alpha Lipoic acid Telomere support blend Trans resveratrol Whole Food Blend Fuciodan-rich algae blend Regeneryll blend Skin-rejuvenating blend Skin-defense carotenoid blend	Youngevity, Chula Vista, CA, USA
Immu 911	Vitamin C Zn Proprietary Botanical blend	Youngevity, Chula Vista, CA, USA
MGN 3	Arabinoxylan compound	Lane Labs, Allendale, NJ, USA
Avemar Bio-Immune	Avemar pulvis Ashwagandha Boerhavia Indian Tinospora Extract Holy Basil Gotu Kola Vidanga Neem Dwarf Morning Glory Indian Tinospora Ginger Long Pepper Mica Coral Mesua	Avemar, Budapest, Hungary, VPK, Fairfield, IA, USA
RVB 300	Glucan #300 Resveratrol Vitamin C	Youngevity, Chula Vista, CA, USA

Table 2: Effects of various samples on Phagocytosis.

Dose (mg/ml)	25	50	100	200	400	800
Carnivora	30.1 ± 1.9	32.2 ± 2.2	30.4 ± 1.7	32.8 ± 3.8	31.5 ± 3.5	32.7 ± 2.8
Killer Biotic	30.8 ± 2.1	33.3 ± 3.4	34.1 ± 2.9	35.1 ± 2.1	33.3 ± 2.8	36.2 ± 2.7*
Beta Immune Booster	28.9 ± 3.1	30.5 ± 2.2	33.1 ± 4.2	32.1 ± 1.8	30.9 ± 2.5	32.7 ± 3.0
7M	31.8 ± 2.6	33.9 ± 1.8	38.3 ± 1.7*	41.4 ± 2.4*	43.3 ± 2.8*	43.0 ± 1.9*
Emergen-C	28.9 ± 1.9	30.0 ± 2.5	29.5 ± 1.8	32.1 ± 2.7	31.8 ± 2.6	33.3 ± 3.7
Immortalium	30.6 ± 2.5	31.7 ± 2.8	32.2 ± 1.9	31.7 ± 2.8	32.9 ± 1.1	33.1 ± 2.9
Immu 911	28.6 ± 3.6	30.8 ± 2.1	31.7 ± 2.2	31.6 ± 2.7	32.0 ± 3.3	32.2 ± 2.7
MGN 3	30.5 ± 1.9	31.8 ± 2.2	32.7 ± 1.8	33.5 ± 2.1	32.8 ± 3.8	33.5 ± 2.9
Avemar	31.2 ± 1.9	32.8 ± 2.6	31.7 ± 3.0	33.1 ± 2.9	32.0 ± 1.9	33.7 ± 3.1
Bio-Immune	30.7 ± 3.1	31.8 ± 2.2	33.2 ± 2.9	32.5 ± 2.2	33.1 ± 3.7	33.8 ± 2.9
RVB 300	39.8 ± 2.2*	45.3 ± 4.4*	65.5 ± 2.8*	72.2 ± 3.6*	75.1 ± 3.8*	72.5 ± 4.0*

Control values (PBS) were 30.3 ± 2.7. The dose represents a single ip. Injection/mouse.

*Significant differences between sample and PBS at <0.05 level.

Table 3: Effects of various samples on superoxide anion production.

Sample	Superoxide Anion (nanomoles/2.5x10 ⁵ cells)
Carnivora	0.21 ± 0.03
Killer Biotic	0.58 ± 0.21*
Beta Immune Booster	0.22 ± 0.06
7M	0.55 ± 0.11*
Emergen-C	0.14 ± 0.02
Immortalium	0.17 ± 0.08
Immu 911	0.15 ± 0.02
MGN 3	0.33 ± 0.05*
Avemar	0.25 ± 0.05*
Bio-Immune	0.20 ± 0.07
RVB 300	1.77 ± 0.15*
PBS	0.13 ± 0.02

*Significant differences between sample and PBS at <0.05 level.

Table 4: Effects of various samples on production of IL-2.

Sample	IL-2 (pg/ml)
Carnivora	18.2 ± 1.8
Killer Biotic	68.6 ± 5.5
Beta Immune Booster	36.3 ± 2.5
7M	223.7 ± 11.2
Emergen-C	32.6 ± 3.4
Immortalium	18.5 ± 6.6
Immu 911	32.0 ± 2.2
MGN 3	41.4 ± 3.8
Avemar	34.6 ± 2.1
Bio-Immune	11.3 ± 1.9
RVB 300	1,001.3 ± 38.8

Control values (PBS) were 1.3 ± 0.1.

*Significant differences between sample and PBS at <0.05 level.

Table 5: Effects of various samples on NK cell activity.

Sample	% Cytotoxicity
Carnivora	11.1 ± 3.7
Killer Biotic	7.8 ± 2.3
Beta Immune Booster	7.1 ± 2.5
7M	32.3 ± 2.2*
Emergen-C	11.1 ± 3.7
Immortalium	7.8 ± 2.3
Immu 911	7.1 ± 2.5
MGN 3	7.4 ± 1.1
Avemar	37.3 ± 2.8*
Bio-Immune	8.6 ± 2.1
RVB 300	45.7 ± 3.3*
OVA	6.6 ± 0.9

*Significant differences between sample and PBS at <0.05 level.

Table 6: Effects of various samples on formation of antibodies.

Sample	OD
Carnivora	120.6 ± 11.2
Killer Biotic	150.4 ± 26.2
Beta Immune Booster	113.0 ± 9.9
7M	301.3 ± 27.8*
Emergen-C	109.6 ± 6.6
Immortalium	133.6 ± 21.5
Immu 911	111.8 ± 10.3
MGN 3	92.9 ± 9.7
Avemar	177.8 ± 20.1*
Bio-Immune	123.6 ± 17.5
RVB 300	483.2 ± 41.3*
OVA	101.1 ± 18.2
OVA + FA	512.3 ± 32.3*

Table 7: Effects of various samples on cancer growth.

Sample	Weight of Cancer (mg)
Carnivora	612.7 ± 52.1
Killer Biotic	513.6 ± 41.1*
Beta Immune Booster	660.1 ± 43.3
7M	398.9 ± 34.1*
Emergen-C	632.7 ± 46.8
Immortalium	611.8 ± 40.5
Immu 911	622.7 ± 21.8
MGN 3	432.5 ± 17.4*
Avemar	468.3 ± 38.4*
Bio-Immune	599.9 ± 38.5
RVB 300	312.1 ± 11.9*
PBS	654.3 ± 47.7

*Significant differences between sample and PBS at <0.05 level.

Discussion

Lately, the general disappointment with Big Pharma results in steadily increasing interests on natural molecules. Regardless of the fact that this trend is partly funded by a fear for chemicals in our life, by an unjustified push of various naturopaths or just by clever marketing, this trend is here to stay. Immunomodulator is the substance capable of interacting with the immune system resulting in up- or down-regulating specific parts of the immune response [11]. It is true that some natural immunomodulators, glucan in particular, have significant biological and physiological effects. It is understandable that a search for an even better molecule exists. However, the recent inclination to offer a better product often leads to questionable combinations, where manufacturers combine numerous biological extracts without any scientific support. In this study we decided to evaluate the question if more is really better. As numerous remedies have claims to improve our health via stimulation of the immune system, detailed studies confirming these possibilities are necessary. With the advanced understanding of immunology and ethnopharmacology, studies on the interaction of these products with individual segments of the immune system are critical to understand the potential efficacy. Careful selection of the correct targets for immunostimulation studies is imperative [12].

The original study showed clear supremacy of RVB 300 (a combination of glucan, resveratrol and vitamin C) over five other combinations [6]. Samples tested in this study include arabinosylan MGN 3 (known also under the name of Biobran), which is a cell modulator [13] with some synergy with curcumin [14]. Some studies even showed effects on cancer cells resulting in sensitization to paclitaxel effects [15]. Another study showed enhancement of NK cell activity towards neuroblastoma cells [16]. The seriousness of these findings is, however, somehow lowered by the fact that they almost entirely originate in the laboratory of the founder of MGN 3. Independent studies usually employed their own extract [17]. Avemar is fermented wheat germ extract developed by Mate Hidvegi with documented anti-carcinogenic properties [18,19]. Some of the effects are mediated via inhibition of the glycolysis enzymes and apoptosis stimulation [20]. In addition, an improvement in activity by the addition of vitamin C has been reported [21]. The rest of samples offered no scientific study with some exception of 7M Complete Immune Booster. There are studies showing strong synergistic effects of glucan and humic acid combination [4,22], however these effects might depend on the quality and type of both glucan and/or humic acid, which clearly will be different in the present sample.

Our results clearly demonstrated strong differences among individual combinations. In most cases, these combinations have no effects at all. In the case of Phagocytosis, only three samples showed some activity, and these results were repeated in additional tests. Mostly, the combinations with some observed activities contained glucan, thus strongly suggesting that glucan is the component responsible for these actions. However, the activities were not too strong despite previously documented effects of these glucans, which leads to two possibilities - either the doses were not high enough or some parts of the combination actually suppressed the immune response. This is the main problem with these combinations - with no research on individual components, not to mention complete lack of research on their

combination, we cannot even guess about possible interactions. In addition, so far there is no proof that combination of more than one glucan actually improves the effects.

In all tests, the highest biological effects were found in case of RVB 300, which is a combination of glucan, resveratrol and vitamin C. Whereas in Phagocytosis and IL-2 productions, the effects are clearly caused by glucan, in oxidative burst they are probably caused by combination of glucan and vitamin C, as both components were described to stimulate oxidative burst [23,24]. Current trend to pile substances with potential or expected bioactive components is surely not optimal and can be even contra productive. Not only do we not know the final effects, but with various combinations showing no effects at all, it might have negative impact on the public and the whole industry, desperate to gain legitimacy and hoping to push through some of the supplements as official drug.

Conclusions

It is important to note that it is imperative to carefully pick the right combination, as most of the tested combinations have only limited, if any, simulative effects on the immune system. The case of Youngevity, which on one hand offers products with superior effects (RVB 300) and on other hand offers products with no activity at all (Immortalium and Immune 911), suggests that we cannot pick the supplements based only on the manufacturer and his reputation.

Acknowledgement

Authors of this study have no financial interest in any of the products or manufacturers mentioned in this article. No external funding was provided for this study.

References

1. Yan J (2011) β -Glucan-mediated tumor immunotherapy - mechanisms of action and perspective. In: Vetvicka V & Novak M (Eds.), *Biology and Chemistry of Beta Glucan - Volume 1: Beta Glucans - Mechanisms of Action*, Bentham Science Publishers, UAE, p. 39-47.
2. Taguchi T, Furue H, Kimura T, Kondo T, Hattori T, et al. (1983) Clinical efficacy of lentinan on neoplastic disease. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 166: 181-187.
3. Ditteova G, Velebny S, Hrcakova G (2003) Modulation of liver fibrosis and pathological changes in mice infected with *Mesocestoides corti* (M. vogae) after administration of glucan and liposomized glucan in combination with vitamin C. *J Helmentol* 77(3): 219-226.
4. Vetvicka V, Baigorri R, Zamarreno AM, Garcia-Mina JM, Yvin JC (2010) Glucan and humic acid: Synergistic effects on the immune system. *J Med Food* 13(4): 863-869.
5. Vetvicka V, Volny T, Saraswat-Ohri S, Vashishta A, Vancikova Z, et al. (2007) Glucan and resveratrol complex - possible synergistic effects on immune system. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub* 151(1): 41-46.
6. Vetvicka V, Vetvickova J (2012) Comparison of immunological properties of various bioactive combinations. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub* 156(3): 218-223.
7. Vetvicka V, Fornusek L, Kopecek J, Kaminkova J, Kasperek L, et al. (1982) Phagocytosis of human blood leukocytes: A simple micromethod. *Immunol Lett* 5(2): 97-100.
8. Vetvicka V, Holub M, Kovaru H, Siman P, Kovaru F (1988) Alpha-fetoprotein and phagocytosis in athymic nude mice. *Immunol Lett* 19(2): 95-98.
9. Fernandez-Botran R, Vetvicka V (2000) *Advanced Methods in Cellular Immunology*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA, pp.192.
10. Vetvicka V, Yvin J-C (2004) Effects of marine β -1,3 glucan on immune reactions. *Int Immunopharmacol* 4(6): 721-730.
11. Utoh-Nedosa AU, Akah PA, Okoye TC, Okoli CO (2009) Evaluation of the toxic effects of dihydroartemisinin on the vital organs of Wistar albino rats. *Am J Pharmacol Toxicol* 4(4): 169-173.
12. Yeap SK, Abd Rahman MB, Alitheen NB, Ho WY, Omar, et al. (2011) Evaluation of immunomodulatory effect: selection of the correct targets for immunostimulation study. *Am J Immunol* 7(2): 17-23.
13. Ghoneum M, Agrawal S (2011) Activation of human monocyte-derived dendritic cells in vitro by the biological response modifier arabinosyran rice bran (MGN-3/Biobran). *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol* 24(4): 941-948.
14. Ghoneum M, Gollapudi S (2011) Synergistic apoptotic effect of arabinosyran rice bran (MGN-3/Biobran) and curcumin (turmeric) on human multiple myeloma cell line U266 *in vitro*. *Neoplasma* 58(2): 118-123.
15. Ghoneum M, El-Din NKB, Ali DA, El-Dein MA (2014) Modified arabinosyran from rice brand, MGN-3/Biobran, sensitizes metastatic breast cancer cells to Paclitaxel *in vitro*. *Anticancer Res* 34(1): 81-88.
16. Perez-Martinez A, Valentin J, Fernandez L, Hernandez-Jimenez E, Lopez-Collazo E, et al. (2015) Arabinosyran rice bran (MGN-3/Biobran) enhances natural killer cell-mediated cytotoxicity against neuroblastoma *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Cytotherapy* 17(5): 601-612.
17. Revilla E, Santa-Maria C, Miramontes E, Candiracci M, Rodrigues-Morgado B, et al. (2013) Antiproliferative and immunoactivatory ability of an enzymatic extract. *Food Chem* 136(2): 526-531.
18. Zalathnai A, Lapis K, Szende B, Rásó E, Telekes A, Resetár A, Hidvégi M (2001) Wheat germ extract inhibits experimental colon carcinogenesis in F-344 rats. *Carcinogenesis* 22(10): 149-152.
19. Telekes A, Hegedus M, Chae CH, Vekey K (2009) Avemar (wheat germ extract) in cancer prevention and treatment. *Nutr Cancer* 61(6): 891-899.
20. Comin-Anduix B, Boros LG, Marin S, Boren J, Callol-Massot C, et al. (2002) Fermented wheat germ extract inhibits glycolysis/pentose cycle enzymes and induces apoptosis through poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase activation in Jurkat T-cell leukemia tumor cells. *J Biol Chem* 277(48): 46408-46414.
21. Hidvégi M, Raso E, Tomoskozi-Farkas R, Paku S, Lapis K, Szende B (1998) Effect of Avemar and Avemar + vitamin C on tumor growth and metastasis in experimental animals. *Anticancer Res* 18(4A): 2353-2358.
22. Vetvicka V, Garcia-Mina JM, Proctor M, Yvin JC (2015) Humic acid and glucan: protection against liver injury induced by carbon tetrachloride. *J Med Food* 18(5): 572-577.
23. Verlhac V, Obach A, Gahaudan J, Schuep W, Hole R (1998) Immunomodulation by dietary vitamin C and glucan in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 6: 409-424.
24. Vetvicka V, Vetvickova J (2010) β 1,3-Glucan: Silver bullet or hot air? *Open Glycoscience* 3: 1-6.